

| 1 2 3 | Beatrice B. Nguyen (CSB No. 172961, bbnguyen) CROWELL & MORING LLP 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.986.2800 Facsimile: 415.986.2827 | @crowell.com) |
|---|--|--|
| 4 | | |
| 5 | David Laing (Admitted Pro Hac Vice, dlaing@crov CROWELL & MORING LLP | vell.com) |
| 6 | 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 | |
| | Telephone: 202-624-2500 | |
| 7 | Facsimile: 202-628-5116 | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Defendants E INK HOLDINGS, INC. and E INK CORP | OP ATION |
| 9 | E INK HOLDINGS, INC. and E INK CORT | OKATION |
| 10 | UNITED STAT | ES DISTRICT COURT |
| 11 | NORTHERN DIS | TRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 12 | SAN FRAN | ICISCO DIVISION |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | COPYTELE, INC., a Delaware | Case No. 3:13-cv-00380-EMC |
| 15 | Corporation, | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] |
| 16 | Plaintiff, | ORDER TO CONTINUE FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE |
| 17 | v. | [Civil L. R. 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12] |
| | AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, a | [CIVII L. R. 0-1, 0-2, and 7-12] |
| 18 | Taiwanese corporation; AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA, a California | |
| 19 | corporation; E INK HOLDINGS, INC., a Taiwanese corporation; and E INK | |
| 20 | CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, | |
| 21 | Defendants. | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| CROWELL | | |
| CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW | | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE FURTHER CASE MANAGEMENT |

CONFERENCE; CASE NO. 3:13-cv-00380-EMC

DCACTIVE-27439652.1

| 1 | Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, and 7-12, this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order is |
|----|---|
| 2 | entered into by and among Plaintiff CopyTele, Inc. ("CopyTele"), and Defendants E Ink |
| 3 | Holdings, Inc. and E Ink Corporation (collectively, "E Ink"), and Defendant AU Optronics |
| 4 | Corporation ("AUO"). |
| 5 | WHEREAS, on January 28, 2013, CopyTele filed a complaint in this action; |
| 6 | WHEREAS, on April 29, 2013, AUO filed a motion to compel arbitration and to stay the |
| 7 | litigation, and E Ink filed motions to dismiss all claims against it and in the alternative to stay the |
| 8 | claims against it pending arbitration between CopyTele and AUO; |
| 9 | WHEREAS, on July 9, 2013, in light of CopyTele's agreement to arbitrate its claims |
| 10 | against AUO, this Court stayed the claims against all defendants pending the resolution of the |
| 11 | arbitration (Dkt. No. 91); |
| 12 | WHEREAS, on April 2, 2014, the Court issued an order resetting a further case |
| 13 | management conference from April 24, 2013, to May 13, 2014; |
| 14 | WHEREAS, E Ink's counsel has a conflict on May 13, 2014, that cannot be rescheduled; |
| 15 | WHEREAS, the Court's clerk advised that the Court is available on May 15, 2014, at |
| 16 | 10:30 a.m. for a further case management conference; |
| 17 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that: |
| 18 | 1. The further case management conference shall be continued from May 13, 2014, |
| 19 | to May 15, 2014, at 10:30 a.m. |
| 20 | 2. Pursuant to Civil General Standing Order No. 6, the Case Management Conference |
| 21 | Statement shall be due on May 8, 2014. |
| 22 | Dated: April 11, 2014 CROWELL & MORING LLP |
| 23 | /s/ Beatrice B. Nguyen |
| 24 | Beatrice B. Nguyen Attorneys for Defendants |
| 25 | E INK HOLDINGS, INC. and E INK CORPORATION |
| 26 | E INC CORPORATION |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

| 1 | Dated: April 11, 2014 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP | |
|---|---|--|
| 2 | /s/ Eric B. Fastiff | |
| 3 | Eric B. Fastiff | |
| 4 | David T. Rudolf Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 5 | COPYTELE, INC. | |
| 6 | Dated: April 11, 2014 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP | |
| 7 8 | /s/ Matthew Rawlinson | |
| 9 | Matthew Rawlinson | |
| 10 | Attorneys for Defendant AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION | |
| 11 | ATTESTATION | |
| 12 | Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the | |
| 13 | filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories. | |
| 14 | Dated: April 11, 2014 /s/ Beatrice B. Nguyen | |
| 15 | Beatrice B. Nguyen | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | [PROPOSED] ORDER | |
| 18 | PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. For good cause shown: | |
| 19 | 1. The further case management conference shall be continued from May 13, 2014, | |
| 20 | to May 15, 2014, at 10:30 a.m. | |
| 21 | 2. Pursuant to Civil General Standing Order No. 6, the Case Management Conference | |
| 22 | Statement shall be due on May 8, 2014. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Dated:April 17, 2014 IT IS SO ORDERED I. Chen | |
| 25 | 5 11 13 30 ric Lalige | |
| 26 | Judge Edward M. Chen | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | THE ACTION OF THE PARTY OF THE | |
| CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW | -2- CONFERENCE; CASE NO. 3:13-cv-00380-EMC | |

DCACTIVE-27439652.1